Spring 2025

Lecture 13

Instructor: Jess Sorrell

Scribe: Jess Sorrell

Acknowledgements. Much of this material (and the material for the next few weeks) is lifted wholesale from the course notes of Aaron Roth and Adam Smith, available at

https://www.adaptivedataanalysis.com

Domain $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^d, \, \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}.$

Theorem 0.1. Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{Z}^m \to \mathcal{Q}$ be an ε -TV stable algorithm that outputs a query $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for every distribution D, except with probability δ over the choice of sample:

$$\left| \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{r} [q_{S}(S) - q_{S}(D)] \right| \leq \varepsilon + (2\varepsilon m + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\log 2/\delta}{m}},$$

where $q_S = \mathcal{M}(S)$.

Wait, why aren't we proving high probability bounds? These may be high probability over the sample, but they're only in expectation over the randomness. Ideally, we would like a statement of the form

Theorem 0.2. Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{Z}^m \to \mathcal{Q}$ be an ε -TV stable algorithm that outputs a query $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for every distribution D, except with probability δ

$$\Pr_{S,r}[|q_S(S) - q_S(D)| > \varepsilon + f(\varepsilon, \delta, m)] \le \delta$$

where $q_S = \mathcal{M}(S)$

like we had for UCO algorithms. We will eventually prove a theorem of this form, but let's first see what happens when we try to follow the UCO argument, but for TV-stability.

- 1. Use stability of \mathcal{M} to prove that $|\mathbb{E}_{S \sim D^m}[q_S(S) q_S(D)]| \leq \varepsilon$
- 2. Prove that $G(S) = q_S(S) q_S(D)$ satisfies $|G(S) G(S')| \le \varepsilon$
- 3. Apply McDiarmid's inequality to conclude that G(S) is close to its expectation with high probability, and so the generalization error of q_S must be small with high probability over S.

What happens when we try to rerun that argument now?

1. Use stability of
$$\mathcal{M}$$
 to prove that $|\mathbb{E}_{S \sim D^m}[q_S(S) - q_S(D)]| \leq \varepsilon$

- 2. Prove that $G(S) = \mathbb{E}_r[q_S(S) q_S(D)]$ satisfies $|G(S) G(S')| \leq \varepsilon$
- 3. Apply McDiarmid's inequality to conclude that G(S) must be close to $\mathbb{E}_{S \sim D^m}[G(S)]$ with high probability, and so the *expected* generalization error of q_S (over the internal randomness r of \mathcal{M}) must be small with high probability.

Let $q_{S;r} = A(S;r)$ and note that trying $G(S,r) = q_{S;r}(S) - q_{S;r}(D)$ doesn't satisfy the assumptions of McDiarmid's inequality! We have no stability guarantees regarding perturbations to the randomness of, e.g., the Gaussian mechanism. Similarly, we might want to try $G_r(S) = q_{S;r}(S) - q_{S;r}(D)$, but our stability guarantees are on the distribution of outputs of \mathcal{M} , not a single output. The only function we have stability guarantees for here is $G(S) = \mathbb{E}_r[q_S(S) - q_S(D)].$

Claim 0.3. For all X, Y on \mathcal{O} with $d_{TV}(X,Y) \leq \varepsilon$, and for all functions $f : \mathcal{O} \to [0,1]$,

$$|\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(Y)]| \le \varepsilon$$

Proof. Let D_X, D_Y denote the distributions of X, Y.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(Y)] &= \int_{o \in \mathcal{O}} f(o)D_X(o)do - \int_{o \in \mathcal{O}} f(o)D_Y(o)do \\ &= \int_{o \in \mathcal{O}} f(o)(D_X(o) - D_Y(o))do \\ &= \int_{o:D_X(o) > D_Y(o)} f(o)(D_X(o) - D_Y(o))do + \int_{o:D_X(o) \le D_Y(o)} f(o)(D_X(o) - D_Y(o))do \\ &\leq \int_{o:D_X(o) > D_Y(o)} f(o)(D_X(o) - D_Y(o))do \\ &\leq \int_{o:D_X(o) > D_Y(o)} |D_X(o) - D_Y(o)|do \\ &= d_{TV}(X, Y) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Claim 0.4. Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{Z}^m \to \mathcal{Q}$ be an ε -TV stable algorithm that outputs a query $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for every distribution D, we have:

$$\left| \underset{\substack{S \sim D^m \\ r}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[q_S(S) - q_S(D) \right] \right| \le \varepsilon$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} & \underset{s \sim D^{m}}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(S) - q_{S}(D)] = \underset{S,r}{\mathbb{E}} [\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_{S}(z_{i}) - \underset{z \sim D}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z)]] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\underset{S,r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z_{i})] - \underset{z \sim D}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z)] \right) & \text{lin of exp} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\underset{S,r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z_{i}) - q_{S_{i \to z}}(z_{i})] \right) & \text{equivalent dist} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\underset{S \sim D}{\mathbb{E}} [\underset{r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z_{i})] - \underset{r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S_{i \to z}}(z_{i})] \right) \end{split}$$

From ε -TV stability of \mathcal{M} , we know that $d_{TV}(q_S, q_{S_{i\to z}}) \leq \varepsilon$, but how does that help us bound

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{r}[q_{S}(z_{i})] - \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{r}[q_{S_{i \to z}}(z_{i})]?$$

Let $f_{z_i}(q) = q(z_i)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{r}[q_{S}(z_{i})] - \mathbb{E}_{r}[q_{S_{i \to z}}(z_{i})] = \mathbb{E}_{r}[f_{z_{i}}(q_{S})] - \mathbb{E}_{r}[f_{z_{i}}(q_{S_{i \to z}})]$$

Note that $f_{z_i} : \mathcal{Q} \to [0,1]$, and in the equation above, it's evaluated on two random variables that have $d_{TV}(q_S, q_{S_{i\to z}}) \leq \varepsilon$. Then from our result in Step 1, we have that for all $S \in \mathbb{Z}^m, z \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{r}[f_{z_{i}}(q_{S})] - \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{r}[f_{z_{i}}(q_{S_{i \to z}})] \leq \varepsilon$$

Applying this bound, we continue

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\underset{\substack{S \\ z \sim D}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\underset{r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S}(z_{i})] - \underset{r}{\mathbb{E}} [q_{S_{i \to z}}(z_{i})] \right] \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\underset{\substack{S \\ z \sim D}}{\mathbb{E}} \varepsilon \right) \quad \text{from } \varepsilon\text{-TV stability, Step 1} = \varepsilon$$

Step 2 down! On to Step 3.

Claim 0.5. Let $G(S) = \mathbb{E}_r[q_S(S) - q_S(D)]$. Then $|G(S) - G(S')| \leq \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} Proof. \text{ We will again consider functions } f: \mathcal{Q} \to [0,1], \ f_z(q) &= q(z) \\ |G(S) - G(S')| &= |\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_S(S) - q_S(D)] - \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_{S'}(S') - q_{S'}(D)]| \\ &= |\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_S(S) - q_S(D) - q_{S'}(S') + q_{S'}(D)]| \\ &= |\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_S(z_i) - q_{S'}(z'_i)] + \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{z \sim D} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_{S'}(z) - q_S(z)]]| \\ &= |\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_S(z_i) - q_{S'}(z'_i)] + \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{z \sim D} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[f_z(q_{S'})] - \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[f_z(q_S)]| \\ &\leq |\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[q_S(z_i) - q_{S'}(z'_i)] + \varepsilon| \\ &\leq |\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_r[f_{z_i}(q_S) - f_{z'_i}(q_{S'})] + \varepsilon| \\ &\leq |\frac{(m-1)\varepsilon}{m} + \frac{1}{m} + \varepsilon| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{m} \end{aligned}$$

n	_	-	_	
L				
L				
L				